

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/621,839	07/16/2003	Philip E. Eggers	A-1-9	1768
21394	7590 04/30/2004		EXAMINER	
ARTHROCARE CORPORATION			COHEN, LEE S	
•	ROS AVENUE E, CA 94085-3523		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3739	
			DATE MAILED: 04/30/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

••		Application No.	Applicant(s)
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		10/621,839	EGGERS ET AL.
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Lee S. Cohen	3739
Period for A SHOTHE II - Externafter - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	or Reply ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insigns of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	(IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MOI 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH cause the application to become ABAN	NTH(S) FROM y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1) ☐ 2a) ☐ 3) ☐ Dispositi	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E ion of Claims	action is non-final. nce except for formal matter	
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>52-75</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>52-75</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.	
Applicati	ion Papers		
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance ion is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in App rity documents have been re u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage
2) Notice 3) Information	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 12/02/03,12/04/03.	Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/621,839

Art Unit: 3739

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 52, 61, 65-68, 70, 74, and 75 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Roos (4,116,198). Applicant's attention is directed to the Figure 1 embodiment and column 5, lines 21-30.

Claims 52, 55-58, 61, 63, 65, 67-70, and 74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Doss (4,381,007). Applicant's attention is directed to the Figure 7, in particular, and column 3, lines 29-67.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 53 and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roos (4,116,198). The particular dimensions are within the scope of the Roos disclosure since the active and return electrodes are closely spaced. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to employ such spacing.

Claims 53, 54, and 66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Doss (4,381,007). The particular dimensions are within the scope of the Doss disclosure since the active and return electrodes are closely spaced. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to

Application/Control Number: 10/621,839

Art Unit: 3739

the skilled artisan to employ such spacing. The particular materials are well known and within the skill of the artisan to select to optimize performance of the method.

Claims 71-73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Doss (4,381,007) in view of Knowlton (5,871,524). The Doss reference fails to disclose feedback control of the power generator. Knowlton discloses a similar device employing feedback based on impedance and temperature sensing. The addition of such a feature to Doss would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to better control the application of power to the electrodes for superior performance and patient safety.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 52-75 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-62 of U.S. Patent No. 5,891,095. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they represent an obvious change in scope of the same method.

Application/Control Number: 10/621,839

Art Unit: 3739

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lee S. Cohen whose telephone number is 703-308-2998. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda Dvorak can be reached on 703-308-0994. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lee S. Cohen
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3739

LSC April 26, 2004